stripe

Health Checks: A Boon or a Curse?

Who Are We?



John Murray

Service Networking Engineer, Stripe Occasional Envoy Contributor C++ Enthusiast



Venil Noronha

Service Networking Engineer, Stripe Envoy and Istio Contributor Distributed Systems Enthusiast

- 1 Conceptual Overview
- 2 Options within Envoy
- 3 Health Checking at Stripe
- Problems at Scale
- 5 Conclusion

- **1** Conceptual Overview
- 2 Options within Envoy
- 3 Health Checking at Stripe
- Problems at Scale
- 5 Conclusion

Section 1 - Conceptual Overview

Health Checking



Section 1 - Conceptual Overview

Health Checking







Health Checking



- 1 Conceptual Overview
- **2** Options within Envoy
- 3 Health Checking at Stripe
- Problems at Scale
- 5 Conclusion

Options

- 1. Active Health Checks
- 2. Passive Health Checks (Outlier Detection)
- 3. Externally Sourced Health

Active Health Checks

Configure Envoy to explicitly health check upstreams



cluster: health_checks: - interval: 5s timeout: 4s http_health_check: host: 'mycluster.service.envoy' path: '/healthcheck'

Passive Health Checks

Also known as "Outlier Detection"

Examines traffic properties such as response-codes and latency.



Detect continuous 5xx errors
cluster:
 outlier_detection:
 consecutive_5xx: 20
 max_ejection_time: 120s

Detect timeout / connection issues
cluster:
 outlier_detection:
 split_external_local_origin_errors: true
 consecutive_local_origin_failure: 5

Section 2 - Options within Envoy

Externally Sourced Health

Health checked by an external system, provided via xDS.







- Conceptual Overview
- 2 Options within Envoy
- **3** Health Checking at Stripe
- Problems at Scale
- 5 Conclusion

Health Checking at Stripe

- Active Health Checks via Envoy
 - Cached via proxied internal-cluster
 - Aggregates "host health" with service health
 - Local, active draining

Historically has worked well:

- Low-volume, latency sensitive connections
- Traffic shifting controls

- 1 Conceptual Overview
- 2 Options within Envoy
- 3 Health Checking at Stripe
- Problems at Scale
- 5 Conclusion

Problems

- Slow time-to-detection
 - Problematic for high-volume connections
- High Health Check Volume
 - Shared (foundational) services have many downstreams
 - Active health probes can add significant overhead
- Network Costs
 - Health Checks will traverse all network paths regardless of endpoint weights or LB strategies

Problems: Slow Time-To-Detection

Example

- Active health check interval of 5s
- Network link processes 25K qps
- Potential impact: 5 * 25K = **125K** failed requests

Mitigations

- Reduce the active health check interval
 - Additional steady-state costs
- Mitigated by passive health checking (outlier detection)
 - Faster than active health checking
 - Lower overhead compared to active health checking
 - Configured in combination with active health checking

Problems: High Health Check Volume



Problems: High Health Check Volume

Issues Encountered

- High backend load
- High connection load
- High CPU load

Anecdotes

- Burning 2+ CPU cores exclusively to serving health check traffic
- Deploying larger instance types to account for volume
- Observed general slowdown of Envoy
- Reaching host-defined file descriptor limits

Problems: High Health Check Volume

Mitigations

- Cache backend health*
- Reduce health check interval*
- Reduced reachability
- Control-plane subsets*
- Move to centralized health checks*

Problems: Network Costs



Problems: Network Costs

Mitigations

- Increased health check interval*
- Exclusively use passive health checks*
- Reduced reachability
- Control plane subsets*
- Move to centralized health checks*

- 1 Conceptual Overview
- 2 Options within Envoy
- 3 Health Checking at Stripe
- Problems at Scale
- **5** Conclusion

Section 5 - Conclusion

Conclusion

- All strategies are context-dependent
- Just one strategy is maybe not enough
- The data-path is never 100% safe
- Let scale drive your design

stripe

Thank You!

We're Hiring!

John Murray | murray@stripe.com Venil Noronha | venil@stripe.com